From: Sent:

Kwon, Brian-Yong

To: Subject: Thursday, June 03, 2004 3:24 PM

STIC-ILL 10/019510

1. "the effect of urocanic acid on graft rejection in an experimental model of orthotopic corneal transplantation in rabbits", Filipec et al., Graefe's Archive for Clinical and Experimental Ophthalmology, 1998, 236(1), 65-68.

2. "urocanic acid isomers are good hydroxy radical scavengers: a comparative study with structural analogues and with uric acid", Kammeyer et al., Bichimica et Biophysica Acta, 1999, 1428(1), 117-120.

3. "cis-urocanic acid is not useful as an immunosupressive agent in the treatment of human allergic contact dermatitis",

Kammeyer et all, Archives of dermatological research, 1996, 288 (11), 725-7.

ofte Costa

### Brian Kwon

**REM 4B81** 

Patent Examiner, Pharm-D. (571)272-0581

## TADONISTE Exeligate Journal Services

Requested by

Adonis

Article title cis-urocanic acid is not useful as an immunosuppressive agent in the treatment of human

allergic contact dermatitis

Article identifier 0340369696001356

Authors Kammeyer\_A Meinardi\_M\_M\_H\_M Bos\_J\_D Teunissen\_M\_B\_M Korstanje\_M\_J

Journal title Archives of Dermatological Research

 ISSN
 0340-3696

 Publisher
 Springer

 Year of publication
 1996

 Volume
 288

 Issue
 11

 Supplement
 0

 Page range
 725-727

Number of pages 3

User name Adonis

Cost centre

PCC \$25.00

Date and time Thursday, June 03, 2004 4:17:09 PM

Copyright © 1991-1999 ADONIS and/or licensors.

The use of this system and its contents is restricted to the terms and conditions laid down in the Journal Delivery and User Agreement. Whilst the information contained on each CD-ROM has been obtained from sources believed to be reliable, no liability shall attach to ADONIS or the publisher in respect of any of its contents or in respect of any use of the system.

### LETTER TO THE EDITOR

Arthur Kammeyer: Marcus M. H. M. Meinardi Jan D. Bos Marcel B. M. Teunissen

# cis-Urocanic acid is not useful as an immunosuppressive agent in the treatment of human allergic contact dermatitis

Received: 26 January 1996

Key words Immunosuppression · Ultraviolet

Sir,

One of the suggested mediators of ultraviolet-induced immunosuppression is *cis*-urocanic acid (*cis*-UCA), that is formed in the epidermis by photoisomerization of *trans*-urocanic acid (*trans*-UCA) [1]. In animal models *cis*-UCA has shown immunosuppressive effects, including the suppression of both sensitization and elicition of contact allergy. Since *cis*-UCA is a low molecular weight compound (enabling it to penetrate into the skin) it might be an interesting topical agent for therapeutic use in a variety of dermatoses where immunosuppression is needed. With great interest we read the contribution of van Strien and Korstanje [2] who demonstrated a suppressive effect of topical UCA in the treatment of allergic contact dermatitis in human volunteers.

Coincidently, we completed a preliminary study with comparable experiments, using an extended protocol developed earlier for use in the study of the possible efficacy of topical cyclosporin in atopic eczema and allergic contact dermatitis [3]. In brief, patients known to be sensitized to nickel, perubalsam, quinoline mix or fragrances were patch tested with the respective compounds according to a modified ICDRG protocol. We studied the possible efficacy of cis-UCA in suppressing the contact allergic response, using trans-UCA and vehicle as controls. Patchtest sites were pretreated for 48 h with cis- or trans-UCA (1% and 0.01% w/v) in 1% carbormer gel (vehicle) prior to the application of allergen, which was present for 72 h under occlusion without the simultaneous application of a UCA isomer.

fect in preventing elicitation in four individuals sensitized for fragrance mix, perubalsum and quinoline mix. In contrast to the findings of van Strien and Korstanje, we found that only in one out of seven nickel-sensitized. individuals did pretreatment with cis-UCA moderately suppress the severity of dermatitis. In three out of seven individuals both cis- and trans-UCA showed moderate suppression of elicitation as compared with control patches treated with vehicle alone. In the three remaining individuals no suppression was found with either UCA isomer. Since the suppressive potential of trans-UCA did not substantially differ from cis-UCA in this respect, the immunosuppressive capacity ascribed to cis-UCA is not very plausible. Unfortunately, van Strien and Korstanje [2] did not include trans-UCA as an important control, making it difficult to interpret their results properly. We suggest that both UCA isomers might have functioned as chelating agents for nickel under these conditions. Chelation may prevent the availability of free nickel ions to initiate allergen formation with epidermal macromolecules. To that end, we investigated whether the lack of suppressive ability was caused by inefficient penetration of cis-UCA, although it was topically applied in excess. We determined the epidermal concentrations of cis- and trans-UCA before and after a load of 48 h under occlusion of 1% cis- and trans-UCA in carbomer gel. Using a filter sampling method and HPLC analysis [1], basic epidermal tissue levels of cisand trans-UCA were found to be increased (about eight times) under these conditions, indicating that an effective penetration of UCA isomers in the epidermis of our volunteers had occurred.

Our results indicated that neither isomer had any ef-

To load the epidermis with increased amounts of UCA isomer requires a certain period of time to elapse. We can assume that the application of UCA isomers immediately before the application of the allergen may have the consequence that the migrating allergen is not surrounded by excess cis- or trans-UCA in the epidermis. In contrast, in our protocol the UCA isomers are present in excess at the time of elicitation and this might favour the study of the

A. Kammeyer ( ) · M. M. H. M. Meinardi · J. D. Bos M. B. M. Teunissen
Neurozintuigen Laboratorium, K.2-noord,
Academisch Medisch Centrum, University of Amsterdam,
Meibergdreef 9, 1105 AZ Amsterdam, The Netherlands

726

possible suppressive effects of cis-UGA and eventually

We one under that case UCA, and also means UCA, sould suppress some but entered the electron of make rooms. Nother case nor mans-UCA are effective in suppressing elicitation responses to other contact allergens. In this respect, neither isomer forms interesting compounds for further development as therapeutic agents in immune-mediated dermatoses.

### References

Lismineya A, remikseneVBMichaul S ibelike WA. (2005) i (1998) Endrossonerizhtionspectrimetermanne per internime E kimandanvuro-effers of simulated solar and arthridal duraves (2005)

olet radiation: Br J Dermatol 132: 884-891

2: van Strien GA, Korstanje MJ (1995) Treatment of contact hypersensitivity with urocanic acid. Arch Dermatol Res 287: 564-566

 De Rie MA, Meinardi MMHM, Bos JD (1991) Lack of efficacy of topical cyclosporin A in atopic dermatitis and allergic contact dermatitis. Acta Derm Venereol (Stockh) 71:452–454 REPLY

M. J. Korstanje

Sir,

In animal test models *cis*-urocanic acid (*cis*-UCA) was able to suppress both sensitization and elicitation of contact allergy. In our study and in the study by Kammeyer et al. this effect of *cis*-UCA was studied in humans.

Kammeyer et al. evaluated patch-test areas with a four-point visual nominal scale adopted from the European Contact Dermatitis Research Group, and found no effect of cis-UCA. Using this scale we were also unable to detect an effect of UCA. In small populations such as those used in the study by Kammeyer et al. (11 or 18 patients) and in our study (33 volunteers), an inaccurate rough scale like this, is not sophisticated enough to detect any effect other then a very large impressive response. In small populations more accurate and objective methods are necessary for a reliable evaluation of responses. We used laser Doppler flowmetry, which is an accurate and objective method for evaluating patch-test reactions [1].

M. J. Korstanje

Irislaan 28, 5595 EH Leende, The Netherlands

Using laser Doppler flowmetry we observed a significant reduction in hypersensitivity responses when the skin was pretreated with *cis*-UCA.

A vehicle, which is applied to the skin prior to the application of an allergen, may reduce the penetration of the allergen in the skin. We used a 60% ethanol solution, which most likely does not reduce the penetration of the allergens. We do not know whether the carbomer gel used by Kammeyer et al. reduced the skin penetration of the allergens applied. Further, as Kammeyer suggested, it may be possible that UCA functions as a chelating agent for, e.g., nickel.

Both studies are small and prone to type I and type II statistical errors. Larger studies are warranted before *cis*-UCA could be used in the preventive treatment of contact hypersensitivity responses.

### Reference

1.Li Q, Aoyama K, Matsushita T (1992) Evaluation of contact allergy to chemicals using laser Doppler flowmetry (LDF) technique. Contact Dermatitis 26:27-33